



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,980	04/12/2001	Richard Geiss	10868-US	9734
33361	7590 02/04/2005		EXAMINER	
ADAMS PATENT & TRADEMARK AGENCY P.O. BOX 11100, STATION H OTTAWA, ON K2H 7T8			PHAM, TUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA		•	2643	

DATE MAILED: 02/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/832,980	GEISS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	TUAN A PHAM	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a caption. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>14 October 2004</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
• • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-13 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of th	uments have been received. uments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	7				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/02,4/12/01.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/14/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that is not serious burden upon the examiner. The Applicant argues the restriction mailed on 4-14-2004 with traverse, that it would not impose a serious burden upon the Examiner. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's argument as stated above. The present application appears containing two inventions. Invention I (claims 1-5, and 11-13) has drawn to transmit data and voice signals over the telephone line, which is classified in class 379, subclass 93.05, while invention II (claims 6-10, and 14-18) has drawn to cancel the echo signal in communication medium, which is classified in class 379, subclass 406.01. Therefore, two inventions would require different search and consideration throughout prosecution.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-3, and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kiko (U.S. Patent No.: 6,212,259).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Kiko teaches a termination circuit for a subscriber line interface connected to a transmission medium for bi-directional communication of both voice and data signals, the termination circuit comprising (see figure 12): detection means to detect voice and data signals at a connection point to the transmission medium (see figure 12, circuit 59d detect voice and data from TIP and RING, col.12, ln.5-30); a voice band return loss means monitoring the voice and data signals and generating a voice band return signal to be forwarded to the connection point, the return signal being a representation of the voice band signal (see figure 12, return loss circuit

Art Unit: 2643

TC1, TC2, col.12, ln.5-30); and a voice band filter connected to the return loss means the voice band filter isolating the voice band return loss signal from the data band signal (see figure 12, filter circuit, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, col.6, ln.6-16, col.12, ln.5-30).

Regarding claim 4, Kiko further teaches a termination circuit of the communications medium is a twisted copper pair (see figure 3, TIP and RING input, col.6, ln.33-36).

Regarding claim 5, Kiko further teaches a termination circuit of the communications the bi-directional communication is implemented utilizing a Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) scheme (see col.6, In.6-16).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Kiko teaches a termination circuit for a subscriber line interface connected to a transmission medium for bi-directional communication of both voice and data signals, the termination circuit comprising (see figure 12): detection means to detect voice and data signals at a connection point to the transmission medium (see figure 12, circuit 59d detect voice and data from TIP and RING, col.12, ln.5-30); a data band return loss means monitoring the voice and data signals and generating a data band return signal to be forwarded to the connection point, the data band return signal being a representation of the data band signal (see figure 12, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, col.12, ln.5-30); and a data band filter connected to the return loss means the data band filter isolating the data band return loss signal from the voice band signal (see figure 12, filter circuit, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, col.6, ln.6-16, col.12, ln.5-30).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 3 and 13, Kiko teaches a termination circuit for a subscriber line interface connected to a transmission medium for bi-directional communication of both voice and data signals, the termination circuit comprising (see figure 8, figure 12): detection means to detect voice and data signals at a connection point to the transmission medium (see figure 12, circuit 59d detect voice and data from TIP and RING, col.12, In.5-30); a voice band return loss means monitoring the voice and data signals and generating a voice band return signal to be forwarded to the connection point, the return signal being a representation of the voice band signal (see figure 8, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, TIP and RING, filter circuit 59b, col.10, ln.21-67); a data band return loss means monitoring the voice and data signals and generating a data band return signal to be forwarded to the connection point (see figure 12, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, col.12, In.5-30), the data band return signal being a representation of the data band signal; a voice band filter connected to the return loss means the voice band filter isolating the voice band return loss signal from the data band signal (see figure 8, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, TIP and RING, filter circuit 59b, col.10, ln.21-67); and a data band filter connected to the return loss data band means, the data band filter isolating the data band return loss signal from the voice band signal (see figure 12, filter circuit, return loss circuit TC1, TC2, col.6, In.6-16, col.12, In.5-30).

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, the

applicants are also requested to consider the following references. Although Huang et

al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,839,425), Meek (U.S. Patent No. 5,745,564), Swam (U.S. Patent

No. 6,728,367), and Treiber (U.S. Patent No. 4,381,561) are not applied into this Office

Action; they are also called to Applicants attention. They may be used in future Office

Action(s). These references are also concerned for supporting the system and method

for filtering voice band and metering tone frequencies of a mixed voice and data signal.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to **Tuan A. Pham** whose telephone number is

(703) 305-4987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday,

8:00 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708 and

IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE

CALL Customer Service at (703) 306-0377 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 2643

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist, tel. No. 703-305-4700).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2643 January 25, 2005 Examiner

Tuan Pham

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600